



Effect of Date of Sowing in Mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) Genotypes on Seed Growth and Yield

**Manjunath S^{a++*}, Shakunatala, N. M^{a#},
Vijay kumar Kurnallikar^{a†}, Sangeetha I Macha^{a‡},
Suma T.C^{b‡} and Arun Kumar B^{c‡}**

^a Department of Seed Science and Technology, UAS, Raichur-584104, India.

^b Department of Crop Physiology, UAS, Raichur-584104, India.

^c Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, UAS, Dharwad-580005, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102473>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124697>

Original Research Article

Received: 01/08/2024

Accepted: 03/10/2024

Published: 04/10/2024

ABSTRACT

Three genotypes of mustard NRCHB – 101, PM-25 and PM-30 were sown during November-December in three different dates at an interval of fifteen days to know the effect of sowing date on seed yield and quality of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). The experiment was conducted at farmer's field during Rabi 2019-2020 and Department of Seed Science and Technology College of Agriculture Raichur and Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agriculture Sciences Raichur,

⁺⁺ Ph.D Research Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Assistant Professor;

[‡] Associate Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: manju93patil@gmail.com;

Cite as: S, Manjunath, Shakunatala, N. M, Vijay kumar Kurnallikar, Sangeetha I Macha, Suma T.C, and Arun Kumar B. 2024. "Effect of Date of Sowing in Mustard (*Brassica Juncea* L.) Genotypes on Seed Growth and Yield". *Journal of Scientific Research and Reports* 30 (10):446-64. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jsrr/2024/v30i102473>.

(India). Significant variations due to different sowing dates were observed in plant height, number of primary branches plant⁻¹, number of secondary branches plant⁻¹, chlorophyll content, number of siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seed siliqua⁻¹, 1000 weight, yield plant⁻¹ and yield ha⁻¹ and oil content of mustard. Delay in sowing caused a significant reduction in the seed yield and oil content. Results showed that the highest seed yield was 1962.48 kg ha⁻¹ obtained at early sown condition 2nd week of November. The lowest seed yield was 1902.10 kg ha⁻¹ from 2nd week of December late sown condition. From the results, the best sowing date of mustard is on 2nd week of November in the northern parts of Karnataka.

Keywords: Date of sowing; mustard; *Brassica juncea* L.; genotypes; seed; growth and yield.

1. INTRODUCTION

Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.) is an important oil yielding crop belongs to the family Brassicaceae, having chromosome number $2n = 36$, is a natural amphidiploid derived from interspecific cross between *B. nigra* and *B. campestris* [1]. Autogamy species with (2 to 15 %) of cross pollination. Mustard is predominantly cultivated in northern regions of India earlier has now been spread to non-traditional areas in eastern, western and southern parts of the country. There are several genotypes of mustard having variable yield potential, adaptability to different climatic conditions and varied response to diseases, insects and pests. The performance of genotypes keeps fluctuating in different agro-ecological regions. Interactions between the genotype and environment are usually exist under all situations in lines, varieties, hybrids or any other breeding material, which complicates the advancement of the crop improvement programme (Eberhart and Russell, 1966). Time of sowing is very important for mustard production [2]. Optimum sowing time plays an important role to exploit the genetic potential of a variety as it provides optimum growth conditions such as temperature, light, humidity and rainfall [3]. Delayed planting, unfavorable weather conditions during the flowering period, fertilization and pod formation can cause a decrease in duration of maturity period, the number of pods per plant, the number and weight of grains, and finally can lead to decrease in seed yield [4]. From the above points it is clear that date of sowing plays a great role in mustard seed production. Keeping in view of these facts, the present investigation was carried out to study the effect of sowing date on flowering and seed set of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The investigations were carried out during *rabi* 2019-2020 in the Itagi village of devadurga taluka of Raichur district, and laboratory experiment

was conducted in the Department of Seed Science and Technology College of Agriculture Raichur and Department of Crop Physiology, University of Agriculture Sciences Raichur, (India) situated at 16°28' north latitude and 77°06' east longitude with an altitude of 389 m above the mean sea level. The three genotypes NRCHB – 101, PM-25 and PM-30 of Indian mustard were sown in field on the three date of sowing, i.e., 2nd week of November, 4th week of November and 2nd week of December during 2019 and 2020 laid out in factorial randomized block design with three replications under normal fertility conditions. Observations on the effect of sowing date on seed growth and yield parameters were recorded.

The meteorological monthly data were recorded at meteorological observatory, Main Agricultural Research Station (MARS), Raichur for the year 2019-20 and 2020-21. The mean data of climatic parameters like rainfall (mm), maximum and minimum temperatures (°C) and relative humidity (%) is depicted in Fig. 1. A total of 1904.3 mm rainfall was received during the year 2019-20 in 113 rainy days. During the cropping period 54.4 mm rainfall was received in 6 rainy days with bright sunshine hours of 5.2 hours day⁻¹. The rainfall was unevenly distributed during grand growth period of the crop. Thus, there was no prolonged moisture stress faced by the crop at any stage during crop growth period, consequently, the crop could express near to its full potential.

Healthy and clean seeds of three different genotypes were used for sowing. Sowing was done in furrows on three dates, i.e., 2nd week of November, 4th week of November and 2nd week of December in each plot. Intercultural operations such as weeding, hoeing, etc. were done as when required to control weeds, conserve moisture and facilitate good aeration. A uniform dose of N, P₂O₅, and K₂O @ 40, 40 and 20 kg ha⁻¹, respectively was applied as basal before

sowing in all the treatments. All plots were surface irrigated at 30 and 60 days after sowing (DAS) with tube-well water.

2.1 Plant Height (cm)

Plant height was measured at vegetative, flowering and pod formation stage on five randomly selected plants in each plot. Plant height was measured from the base of the plant to the tip of the main stem. The height was determined with the help of meter scale and mean values were calculated and expressed in centimetre.

2.2 Chlorophyll Content (SPAD value)

The chlorophyll content of the five randomly selected plants were measured at vegetative, flowering and pod formation stage from the 3rd leaflet of central shoot of each plant and mean leaf chlorophyll content were recorded and average was worked out and Chlorophyll meter observations were expressed as SPAD readings.

2.3 Days to 50 Per Cent Flowering

Number of days required from sowing to 50 per cent flowering was computed and expressed as days to 50 per cent flowering.

2.4 Seed Yield ha⁻¹ (kg)

The seed yield obtained from the net plot area was cleaned, dried in the shade for seven days and weighed in kilogram. The seed weight from five plants used for taking observations was also added for computing the seed yield per ha. On the basis of seed yield per plant, the seed yield per hectare was computed and expressed in kilograms per hectare.

2.5 Oil Content (%)

Approximately 25 g of seeds were drawn randomly from and each treatment was dried at 50 ± 5 °C and estimation of per cent oil content in the seed was done at MARS, UAS Raichur using N.M.R (Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectrometer) equipment.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Effect of Sowing Date on Growth Parameters

Study revealed the presence of wide range of variability for plant height among the genotypes selected for different date of sowing time and the variability in plant height might be due to the

genetic makeup of the different genotypes. NRCHB – 101 genotype recorded significantly highest plant height at early date of sowing D1 (2nd week of November) (156.27 cm) Further, lowest was recorded in PM-25 under late sowing condition D3 (2nd week of December) (142.19 cm) at harvest (Table 1 and Fig. 2). The results of present investigation are in agreement with the earlier reports of Zafar et al. [5].

Genotype NRCHB – 101 was observed maximum chlorophyll content at early sowing D1 (2nd week of November) had higher SPAD values (45.12) and genotype PM-30 had lower values under late sown condition D3 (2nd week of December) (39.21) at flowering stage. This is because of crop at flowering stage possess maximum stomatal conductance, photosynthetic rate in terms having maximum chlorophyll content (SPAD Values) results were in conformity with the earlier works of Kobraee et al. [6] and Liu et al. [7] in soybean (Table 2 and Fig. 3).

Highest number of primary branches plant⁻¹ and number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ was recorded at D2 (4th week of November) in NRCHB – 101(6.85 and 26.00) and lowest number of primary branches per plant was recorded under late sown condition D3 (2nd week of December) in PM-30 (5.09) and PM-25 (5.03 and 21.96 respectively). So, genotype with higher primary branches plant⁻¹ and number of secondary branches plant⁻¹ yielded high and these present results were confirmed with the finding of Akparobi [8] in cassava (Tables 4 & 5).

Days to 50 per cent flowering was significantly varied among genotypes under different sowing dates NRCHB – 101(39.00 days) took less number of days for days to 50 per cent flowering early sown condition and PM-25 (43.43 days) took more number of days at late sown condition. The possible reason for decrease in flowering duration in the late sown crop during both the years could be that the environmental conditions for plant growth were more favourable. The crop had more time for various activities and could complete various phenological stages. However, in delayed sowing plant tends to reach reproductive and seed production stage earlier due to little aberrant environmental conditions (Table 5. Fig. 3). Temperature and photoperiod have been reported as the two most important environmental factors affecting phenological development. Results are in agreement with the findings of Khan et al., [9] also similar results were obtained by Manju. D. and Harish K, S. [10] in mustard.




Fig. 1. Monthly meteorological data for the experimental year 2019-20 and 2020-21




Fig. 2. Effect of date of sowing on Plant height (cm) in mustard genotypes




Fig. 3. Effect of date of sowing on days to 50 % flowering in mustard genotypes




Fig. 4. Effect of date of sowing on seed yield per plant in mustard genotypes

Table 1. Effect of date of sowing on plant height (cm) in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Plant height (cm) at vegetative stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	29.07	24.83	26.16	26.69	30.80	25.51	25.57	27.30	29.94	25.17	25.87	26.99
D2-4 th week of Nov	23.37	21.92	24.10	23.13	24.76	22.52	23.56	23.61	25.11	22.84	23.63	23.86
D3-2 th week of Dec	24.23	19.98	25.24	23.15	25.67	20.53	24.66	23.62	24.95	20.26	24.95	23.38
Mean	25.56	22.25	25.17	27.08	22.86	24.60	26.67	22.76	24.82			
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.126	0.126	0.218	0.428	0.428	0.742	0.401	0.401	0.694			
CD at 5%	0.378	0.378	0.654	1.284	1.284	2.224	1.201	1.201	2.080			

Sowing dates	Plant height (cm) at flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	138.54	138.13	137.09	137.92	145.26	141.93	135.40	140.86	141.17	140.03	136.97	139.39
D2-4 th week of Nov	140.60	129.50	131.67	133.92	139.52	133.06	137.41	136.66	135.60	131.28	139.00	135.29
D3-2 th week of Dec	136.58	126.78	132.62	131.99	140.53	130.26	133.48	134.76	138.87	129.59	135.49	134.65
Mean	138.57	131.47	133.79	141.77	135.08	135.43	138.55	133.63	137.15			
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.634	0.634	1.099	2.422	2.422	4.194	1.580	1.580	2.737			
CD at 5%	1.902	1.902	3.294	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	

Sowing dates	Plant height (cm) at pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	171.20	163.60	164.20	166.33	155.53	144.12	145.56	148.40	156.27	149.82	151.76	152.62
D2-4 th week of Nov	158.52	151.48	156.38	155.46	147.48	145.51	142.95	145.31	153.00	148.50	149.67	150.39
D3-2 th week of Dec	146.78	140.26	148.94	145.33	141.34	136.05	139.32	138.90	151.15	142.19	147.25	146.86
Mean	158.83	151.78	156.51	148.11	141.89	142.61	153.47	146.84	149.56			
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.799	0.799	1.385	2.545	2.545	4.409	1.631	1.631	2.824			
CD at 5%	2.397	2.397	4.151	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	4.888	NS	NS	

Table 2. Effect of date of sowing on chlorophyll content (SPAD values) in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Chlorophyll content (SPAD values) at vegetative stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	36.21	34.12	34.82	35.05	35.62	32.46	34.25	34.11	35.91	33.29	34.54	34.58
D2-4 th week of Nov	34.53	32.46	33.46	33.48	32.80	31.81	31.79	32.13	33.67	32.14	32.62	32.81
D3-2 th week of Dec	34.16	33.13	33.15	33.48	34.56	33.00	33.92	33.83	34.36	33.07	33.54	33.66
Mean	34.97	33.24	33.81	34.33	32.42	33.32	34.65	32.83	33.57			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.457	0.457	0.792	0.261	0.261	0.453	0.248	0.248	0.429			
CD at 5%	1.370	1.370	NS	0.783	0.783	NS	0.743	0.743	NS			

Sowing dates	Chlorophyll content (SPAD values) at flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	45.58	42.60	43.11	43.77	44.65	43.87	42.06	43.53	45.12	43.24	42.59	43.65
D2-4 th week of Nov	43.00	40.23	40.24	41.16	42.75	40.33	39.96	41.01	42.88	40.28	40.10	41.09
D3-2 th week of Dec	40.11	39.80	39.49	39.80	39.81	40.24	38.92	39.66	39.96	40.02	39.21	39.73
Mean	42.90	40.88	40.95	42.40	41.48	40.31	42.65	41.18	40.63			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.254	0.254	0.441	0.320	0.320	0.553	0.252	0.252	0.436			
CD at 5%	0.763	0.763	1.321	0.958	0.958	NS	0.754	0.754	NS			

Sowing dates	Chlorophyll content (SPAD values) at pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	40.35	36.53	39.15	38.68	40.58	36.83	38.77	38.73	40.47	36.68	38.96	38.70
D2-4 th week of Nov	39.26	34.72	37.16	37.05	38.25	34.26	36.42	36.31	38.75	34.49	36.79	36.68
D3-2 th week of Dec	37.53	36.34	37.02	36.96	37.34	37.46	36.45	37.08	37.43	36.90	36.74	37.02
Mean	39.05	35.87	37.78	38.72	36.18	37.21	38.88	36.03	37.50			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.338	0.338	0.585	0.257	0.257	0.445	0.200	0.200	0.346			
CD at 5%	1.012	1.012	NS	0.770	0.770	1.333	0.599	0.599	1.037			

Table 3. Effect of date of sowing on number of primary branches in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Number of Primary branches at vegetative stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.33	2.00	1.67	1.33	1.67	2.00	1.33	1.17	1.50
D2-4 th week of Nov	1.00	2.00	2.00	1.67	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.22	1.17	1.50	1.67	1.44
D3-2 th week of Dec	2.00	1.00	1.00	1.33	1.33	1.00	1.33	1.22	1.67	1.00	1.17	1.28
Mean	1.67	1.33	1.33	1.56	1.22	1.33	1.61	1.28	1.28	1.33		
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.014	0.014	0.024	0.134	0.134	0.232	0.067	0.067	0.117			
CD at 5%	0.042	0.042	0.072	0.402	NS	NS	NS	0.202	0.350			

Sowing dates	Number of Primary branches at flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	5.00	4.00	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.67	5.00	4.89	5.00	4.83	4.50	4.78
D2-4 th week of Nov	6.00	5.00	6.00	5.67	5.67	4.67	5.00	5.11	5.50	5.33	5.33	5.39
D3-2 th week of Dec	5.00	5.00	4.00	4.67	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	5.00	4.50	5.00	4.83
Mean	5.33	4.67	5.00	5.22	4.78	5.00	5.17	4.89	4.89	4.94		
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.029	0.029	0.049	0.255	0.255	0.441	0.132	0.132	0.228			
CD at 5%	0.086	0.086	0.148	NS	NS	NS	0.395	NS	NS			

Sowing dates	Number of Primary branches at pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	6.32	5.65	6.37	6.11	6.69	6.22	5.80	6.24	6.51	5.72	6.29	6.17
D2-4 th week of Nov	6.65	5.82	6.18	6.22	7.05	6.04	5.98	6.36	6.85	5.90	6.11	6.29
D3-2 th week of Dec	5.70	5.82	5.15	5.56	6.04	5.03	5.98	5.68	5.87	5.90	5.09	5.62
Mean	6.22	5.76	5.90	6.59	5.76	5.92	6.41	5.84	5.84	5.83		
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.032	0.032	0.055	0.105	0.105	0.182	0.067	0.067	0.116			
CD at 5%	0.095	0.095	0.165	0.316	0.316	0.547	0.200	0.200	0.346			

Table 4. Effect of date of sowing on number of secondary branches in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Number of secondary branches at flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	14.90	13.85	14.00	14.25	16.20	14.70	15.12	15.34	15.55	14.27	14.56	14.79
D2-4 th week of Nov	14.25	13.72	13.30	13.76	15.39	14.41	14.36	14.72	14.82	14.06	13.83	14.24
D3-2 th week of Dec	14.24	13.12	13.58	13.65	15.71	14.26	14.67	14.88	14.98	13.69	14.12	14.26
Mean	14.46	13.56	13.63	15.77	14.46	14.72	15.12	14.01	14.17			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.082	0.082	0.142	0.082	0.082	0.142	0.080	0.080	0.139			
CD at 5%	0.246	0.246	NS	0.245	0.245	NS	0.240	0.240	NS			

Sowing dates	Number of secondary branches at pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	25.00	22.00	24.00	23.67	27.00	23.76	25.20	25.32	26.00	22.88	24.60	24.49
D2-4 th week of Nov	24.25	21.34	23.28	22.96	25.65	22.57	24.70	24.31	24.95	21.96	23.99	23.63
D3-2 th week of Dec	23.75	20.90	23.52	22.72	26.19	23.05	24.44	24.56	24.97	21.97	23.98	23.64
Mean	24.33	21.41	23.60	26.28	23.13	24.78	25.31	22.27	24.19			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.115	0.115	0.198	0.128	0.128	0.221	0.121	0.121	0.210			
CD at 5%	0.343	0.343	NS	0.383	0.383	NS	0.363	0.363	NS			

Table 5. Effect of date of sowing on days to 50 % flowering in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Days to 50 % Flowering											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	38.00	42.23	41.71	40.65	40.00	41.00	43.00	41.33	39.00	41.62	42.36	40.99
D2-4 th week of Nov	39.90	42.23	41.71	41.28	41.30	41.41	42.14	41.62	40.60	41.82	41.93	41.45
D3-2 th week of Dec	41.71	45.15	40.92	42.59	42.28	43.43	43.12	42.94	42.00	44.29	42.02	42.77
Mean	39.87	43.20	41.45	41.19	41.95	42.75	40.53	42.58	42.10			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.191	0.191	0.331	0.689	0.689	1.193	0.268	0.268	0.464			
CD at 5%	0.573	0.573	0.993	NS	NS	NS	0.804	0.804	1.392			

Table 6. Effect of date of sowing on specific leaf area (cm² g⁻¹) in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Specific leaf area (cm ² g ⁻¹) at vegetative stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	14.11	13.20	14.07	13.79	15.17	13.54	14.02	14.24	14.64	13.37	14.04	14.02
D2-4 th week of Nov	13.06	12.58	13.03	12.89	14.13	12.89	13.95	13.66	13.60	12.73	13.49	13.27
D3-2 th week of Dec	12.67	12.20	12.63	12.50	13.46	12.37	13.74	13.19	13.06	12.28	13.19	12.85
Mean	13.28	12.66	13.24	14.25	12.93	13.90	13.77	12.80	13.57			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.071	0.071	0.123	0.078	0.078	0.135	0.074	0.074	0.129			
CD at 5%	0.213	0.213	NS	0.234	0.234	0.406	0.223	0.223	NS			

Sowing dates	specific leaf area (cm ² g ⁻¹) at flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	24.44	23.02	24.40	23.95	26.18	24.22	25.22	25.21	25.31	23.62	24.81	24.58
D2-4 th week of Nov	22.63	21.93	22.59	22.38	22.10	20.71	23.40	22.07	22.36	21.32	23.00	22.23
D3-2 th week of Dec	21.50	21.49	21.46	21.48	21.12	20.73	21.88	21.24	21.31	21.11	21.67	21.36
Mean	22.86	22.15	22.82	23.13	21.89	23.50	23.00	22.02	23.16			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.121	0.121	0.209	0.140	0.140	0.242	0.101	0.101	0.175			
CD at 5%	0.362	0.362	0.628	0.419	0.419	0.727	0.302	0.302	0.523			

Sowing dates	Specific leaf area(cm ² g ⁻¹) at pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	25.01	23.56	26.47	25.01	24.04	22.62	25.14	23.93	24.52	23.09	25.80	24.47
D2-4 th week of Nov	23.15	22.44	24.51	23.37	21.78	21.10	23.89	22.26	22.47	21.77	24.20	22.81
D3-2 th week of Dec	22.02	21.93	21.96	21.97	21.33	21.74	21.33	21.46	21.68	21.83	21.64	21.72
Mean	23.40	22.64	24.31	22.38	21.82	23.45	22.89	22.23	23.88			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.178	0.178	0.308	0.291	0.291	0.503	0.189	0.189	0.327			
CD at 5%	0.534	0.534	0.924	0.871	0.871	1.509	0.566	0.566	0.980			

Table 7. Effect of date of sowing on crop growth rate (g m⁻² day⁻¹) in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Crop growth rate (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹) at vegetative stage to flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	10.60	9.74	10.38	10.24	11.91	10.83	10.84	11.19	11.25	10.28	10.61	10.71
D2-4 th week of Nov	9.75	8.96	9.23	9.31	9.79	9.57	9.11	9.49	9.77	9.26	9.17	9.40
D3-2 th week of Dec	9.56	9.42	9.35	9.44	8.97	8.63	8.46	8.69	9.27	9.02	8.91	9.07
Mean	9.97	9.37	9.65	10.22	9.67	9.47	10.10	9.52	9.56			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.145	0.145	0.251	0.107	0.107	0.186	0.090	0.090	0.155			
CD at 5%	0.434	0.434	NS	0.322	0.322	NS	0.269	0.269	NS			

Sowing dates	Crop growth rate (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹) at flowering stage to pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	17.40	16.17	16.73	16.77	18.83	17.52	17.51	17.95	18.12	16.85	17.12	17.36
D2-4 th week of Nov	15.55	15.30	15.62	15.49	17.14	16.34	16.54	16.67	16.34	15.82	16.08	16.08
D3-2 th week of Dec	16.14	15.07	14.86	15.36	15.33	14.11	14.93	14.79	15.74	14.59	14.89	15.07
Mean	16.36	15.51	15.74	17.10	15.99	16.33	16.73	15.75	16.03			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.117	0.117	0.203	0.113	0.113	0.195	0.107	0.107	0.186			
CD at 5%	0.351	0.351	0.607	0.338	0.338	NS	0.322	0.322	NS			

Table 8. Effect of date of sowing on net assimilation rate (g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) in mustard genotypes at different plant growth stages

Sowing dates	Net Assimilation Rate (g g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) at Vegetative stage to flowering stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	9.23	8.16	8.36	8.58	9.54	8.68	8.41	8.88	9.39	8.42	8.39	8.73
D2-4 th week of Nov	7.80	7.25	7.69	7.58	7.87	6.80	7.37	7.35	7.84	7.02	7.53	7.46
D3-2 th week of Dec	7.44	6.69	7.27	7.14	6.85	6.37	6.82	6.68	7.14	6.53	7.05	6.91
Mean	8.16	7.37	7.77	8.09	7.28	7.54	8.12	7.33	7.65			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.052	0.052	0.091	0.124	0.124	0.215	0.067	0.067	0.116			
CD at 5%	0.157	0.157	0.272	0.373	0.373	0.645	0.201	0.201	0.348			

Sowing dates	Net Assimilation Rate (g g ⁻¹ day ⁻¹) at Flowering stage to pod formation stage											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	14.41	13.75	13.84	14.00	14.17	13.14	13.45	13.58	14.29	13.45	13.64	13.79
D2-4 th week of Nov	13.11	11.17	12.85	12.38	12.75	10.60	12.49	11.95	12.93	10.89	12.67	12.16
D3-2 th week of Dec	12.45	11.12	11.55	11.71	11.48	10.47	11.23	11.06	11.96	10.80	11.39	11.38
Mean	13.32	12.01	12.74	12.80	11.40	12.39	13.06	11.71	12.57			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	0.066	0.066	0.114	0.121	0.121	0.210	0.086	0.086	0.150			
CD at 5%	0.198	0.198	0.343	0.364	0.364	0.630	0.259	0.259	0.449			

Table 9. Effect of date of sowing on number of siliqua per plant in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Number of siliqua per plant											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	471.42	232.20	310.03	337.88	476.28	226.17	304.01	335.49	473.85	229.18	307.02	336.68
D2-4 th week of Nov	331.74	211.44	195.70	246.29	335.16	211.06	191.90	246.04	333.45	211.25	193.80	246.17
D3-2 th week of Dec	275.48	136.80	139.05	183.78	273.59	141.12	139.50	184.74	274.53	138.96	139.28	184.26
Mean	359.55	193.48	214.93	361.68	192.78	211.80	360.61	193.13	213.37			
	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G	D	G	D x G			
S.Em ±	3.219	3.219	5.575	4.694	4.694	8.131	1.940	1.940	3.361			
CD at 5%	9.649	9.649	16.713	14.074	14.074	24.377	5.817	5.817	10.075			

Table 10. Effect of date of sowing on siliqua length (cm) in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Siliqua length (cm)											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	6.46	5.72	5.56	5.92	6.69	5.78	5.45	5.97	6.57	5.75	5.51	5.94
D2-4 th week of Nov	5.89	5.14	5.97	5.67	6.08	5.11	5.99	5.73	5.98	5.12	5.98	5.70
D3-2 th week of Dec	5.32	5.04	5.46	5.27	5.51	5.10	5.35	5.32	5.41	5.07	5.41	5.30
Mean	5.89	5.30	5.67		6.09	5.33	5.60		5.99	5.32	5.63	
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.029	0.029	0.051		0.087	0.087	0.151		0.038	0.038	0.066	
CD at 5%	0.088	0.088	0.153		0.262	0.262	0.453		0.114	0.114	0.198	

Table 11. Effect of date of sowing on number of seeds per siliqua in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Number of seeds per siliqua											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB-101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	12.52	12.22	12.34	12.36	12.15	11.97	11.99	12.03	12.33	12.10	12.17	12.20
D2-4 th week of Nov	12.49	11.92	11.98	12.13	12.19	11.53	11.89	11.87	12.34	11.72	11.94	12.00
D3-2 th week of Dec	11.95	10.82	11.78	11.51	11.58	10.37	11.66	11.20	11.76	10.60	11.72	11.36
Mean	12.32	11.65	12.03		11.97	11.29	11.85		12.15	11.47	11.94	
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.118	0.118	0.205		0.128	0.128	0.221		0.098	0.098	0.170	
CD at 5%	0.355	0.355	NS		0.383	0.383	NS		0.295	0.295	0.511	

Table 12. Effect of date of sowing on seed yield kg ha⁻¹ in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Seed yield kg ha ⁻¹											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	2067.26	1982.46	2195.14	2081.62	2052.02	2152.51	2088.58	2097.70	2077.92	2173.82	2017.24	2089.66
D2-4 th week of Nov	1938.06	1792.65	1875.01	1868.57	1855.55	1838.60	1958.04	1884.06	1948.05	1856.81	1824.10	1876.32
D3-2 th week of Dec	1851.92	1961.37	1692.08	1835.13	2030.19	1659.23	1871.02	1853.48	1861.47	1675.66	1995.78	1844.30
Mean	1952.42	1912.16	1920.74		1979.25	1883.45	1972.54		1962.48	1902.10	1945.71	
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	10.065	10.065	17.434		33.435	33.435	57.911		12.598	12.598	21.820	
CD at 5%	30.176	30.176	52.266		100.237	NS	173.616		37.769	37.769	65.418	

Table 13. Effect of date of sowing on oil content (%) in mustard genotypes

Sowing dates	Oil content (%)											
	2019				2020				Pooled Mean			
	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean	NRCHB -101	PM-25	PM-30	Mean
D1-2 nd week of Nov	41.53	38.66	40.84	40.34	40.78	38.96	39.59	39.77	41.15	38.81	40.21	40.06
D2-4 th week of Nov	40.13	39.13	39.88	39.72	40.42	39.30	39.68	39.80	40.28	39.21	39.78	39.76
D3-2 th week of Dec	39.45	38.67	39.14	39.09	40.12	38.79	38.93	39.28	39.79	38.73	39.04	39.18
Mean	40.37	38.82	39.95		40.44	39.02	39.40		40.40	38.92	39.68	
	D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G		D	G	D x G	
S.Em ±	0.259	0.259	0.448		0.176	0.176	0.304		0.145	0.145	0.251	
CD at 5%	0.776	0.776	NS		Ns	0.527	NS		0.435	0.435	NS	

Specific Leaf Area (SLA) varied significantly among different sowing dates. Genotype PM-30 (23.88 cm² g⁻¹) observed maximum SLA early sowing followed by NRCHB – 101 (22.89 cm² g⁻¹), while minimum SLA noticed in PM-25 (22.23 cm² g⁻¹) under late sown condition at pod formation stage. Higher specific leaf area (SLA) indicates distribution of dry weight over large leaf area and also the presence of a more number of palisade cell layers in leaves. As palisade cell number increases, the amount of photosynthates fixed does also increase causing higher yield levels. These results were similar with the earlier results of Kaston and Rancut [11] Table 6.

Genotype NRCHB – 101 recorded maximum crop growth rate (CGR) under early sowing condition (18.12 g m⁻² day⁻¹) owned higher yield levels and genotype which showed lower value for CGR (PM-25) at 2nd week of December late sown (14.59 g m⁻² day⁻¹) had lower yield levels. The rapid increase in CGR in the genotypes was due to higher production of dry matter due to increased photosynthetic activity at grand growth stage which was also coupled with increased rate of cell multiplication Table 7.

Net assimilation rate varied among different date of sowing the genotype NRCHB-101 recorded highest (14.29 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) under early date of sowing D1 (2nd week of November) followed by PM-30 under early date of sowing D1 (2nd week of November) (13.64 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹). Further, the genotype PM-25 recorded significantly lowest (NAR) (10.80 g g⁻¹ day⁻¹) under late showing D3 (2nd week of December) (Table 8). Higher values for NAR indicate an increase in genotype's sink demand and also more photosynthetic products availability to growing reproductive parts like flowers and pods leading to higher assimilation in pods [12].

3.2 Effect of Sowing Date on Yield Parameters

Number of siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ and siliqua length was significantly affected by both sowing dates and genotypes. Early sown genotypes on 2nd week of November has recorded higher number of siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ and siliqua length followed by 4th week of November sowing crop. Lowest was observed under late sown condition at 2nd week of December. This might be due to favourable weather condition and translocation of more photosynthates from source to sink resulted in higher in early sowings than delayed

ones. Similar results were suggested by Chand et al. [13] Aziz et al. [14] and Sharif et al. [15]. Among genotypes NRCHB-101 (473.85, 12.52 and 6.57 cm) recorded highest siliqua plant⁻¹, number of seeds siliqua⁻¹ and siliqua length respectively and lowest recorded in PM-25 (138.28, 10.60, 5.07 cm respectively) tabulated in (Tables 9, 10 and 11).

Seed yield under early date of sowing D1 (2nd week of November) (9.36g and 2077.92 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) recorded highest in genotype NRCHB-101. Further, the genotype PM-25 recorded lowest seed yield (7.55g and 1675.66 kg ha⁻¹) under late showing D3 (2nd week of December) (Table 12 and Fig. 4). Higher seed yield in NRCHB-101 may be due to the contribution of cumulative favourable effects of the crop characteristics viz., higher number of branches per plant, number siliqua per plant and number seeds per siliqua than other genotypes. Similar results were reported by Helal et al. [16] Raghuvanshi et al. [17] and Biswas et al. [18].

November 2nd week sown crop exhibited significantly higher content of oil followed by November 4th week and December 2nd week (Table 13). The longer duration of reproductive phase under 2nd week of November sowing had a positive influence on the development of seed and therefore, increased oil content (Tobe et al., 2013). NRCHB-101 recorded highest oil content (%) (41.15 %) followed by PM-30 (40.84 %) and PM-25 recorded lowest oil content (38.73 %) [19].

4. CONCLUSION

- It is concluded that if sowing is done late in December then crop experiences higher temperature during early growth phases. And crop experiences heat stress at later stages of plant growth causing reduction in flowering and pod growth phases resulting in decreased total dry matter, primary and secondary branch, siliqua per plant, test weight, quantity and quality of oil in seeds. Instead of 2nd week of December the ideal sowing time of mustard in Raichur region may be considered on 2nd week of November and this shift might be due to climate change and in spring season. Delay in sowing exposes mustard plants to high temperature and long days particularly affecting flowering development less fertilization and during siliqua growth phase, which accelerates

crop maturity and decreases seed yield. Farmers or seed growers can go for early sowing of mustard genotype NRCHB-101 during 2nd week of November to increase seed yield.

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.) and text-to-image generators have been used during the writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

1. Nagaharu U. Genome-analysis in Brassica with special reference to the experimental formation of *B. napus* and peculiar mode of fertilization. *Japan. J. Bot.* 1935;7:389-452.
2. Mondal RI, Biswas M, Ali H, Akbar MA. Response of rapeseed genotype dhali to seed rate and seeding date. *Bangladesh Journal of Agricultural Research.* 1999;24: 83-90.
3. Iraddi VS. Response of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czernj and Cosson) varieties to date of sowing and row spacing in Northern transition zone of Karnataka. M.Sc. Thesis. Department of Agronomy, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad. 2008;81.
4. Soleymani A, Shahri MM, Shahrajabian MH, Naranjani L. Responses of cultivars of canola to sulfur fertilizer and plant densities under climatic condition of Gorgan region. *Iranian Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environments.* 2010;8: 298-304.
5. Zafar I, Arshad M, Ashraf M, Mahmood T, Wahee A. Evaluation of soybean (*Glycine max* L Merrill) germplasm for some important morphological traits using multivariate analyses. *Pakistan J. Bot.* 2008;40(6):2323-2328.
6. Kobraee S, Shamsi K, Ekhtiari S. Soybean nodulation and chlorophyll concentration (SPAD value) affected by some of micronutrients, *Ann. Biol. Res.* 2011;2 (2):414-422.
7. Liu G, Yang C, Xu K, Zhang Z, Li D, Wu Z, Chen Z. Development of yield and some photosynthetic characteristics during 82 years of genetic improvement of soybean genotypes in northeast China. *Australian J. Crop Sci.* 2012;6(10):1416-1422.
8. Akparobi SO. Effects of two agro-ecological zones on leaf chlorophyll contents of twelve cassava genotypes in Nigeria. *Middle-East J. Sci. Res.* 2009;4 (1):20-23.
9. Khan RU, Muendel Chaudhry HH. Influence of tipping rapeseed on yield components and other agronomic characters under varying dates of planting. *Pakistan J. Botan.* 1994;26:167-171.
10. Manju D, Harish KS. Effect of sowing date on flowering and seed set of mustard (*Brassica juncea* L.). *J. Ento. Zool. Studies.* 2017;5(5):1534-1537.
11. Kaston L, Rancut JH. Physiology of seed yield in soybean: growth and dry matter production. *African. J. Biotechnol.* 2009; 21:7643-7649.
12. Ozalkan C, Sepetoglu HT, Daur I, Sen OF. Relationship between some plant growth parameters and grain yield of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L.) during different growth stages. *Turkish J. Field Crops.* 2014;15:79-83.
13. Chand P, Govardhan M, Sujatha M. Effect of dates of sowing on performance of mustard varieties. *Res. Crops.* 2000;1:153-155.
14. Aziz MA, Chakma R, Ahmed M, Rahman AKMM, Roy K. Effect of sowing dates on the growth, development and yield of mustard in the hilly areas. *J. Exp. Biosci.* 2011;2(1):33-36.
15. Sharif M, Haque MZ, Howlader MHK, Hossain MJ. Effect of sowing time on growth and yield attributes of three mustard cultivars grown in tidal flood plain of Bangladesh. *J. Bangladesh Agric. Univ.* 2016;14(2):155-160.
16. Helal MU, Islam N, Kadir M, Miah NH. Performance of rapeseed and mustard (*Brassica* sp.) varieties/lines in North-East region (Sylhet) of Bangladesh. *Agric. Res. Technol.* 2016;2(1).
17. Raghuvanshi N, Kumar V, Dev J. Effect of nitrogen levels on mustard (*Brassica juncea* L. Czern and Coss) varieties under late sown condition. *Curr. J. Appl. Sci. Technol.* 2018;30(2): 1-8.
18. Biswas M, Alom MS, Mondol NA, Khatun F, Banik BR, Kundu BC. Performance of

- late sown rapeseed-mustard under variable management levels. Pak. J. Biol. Sci. 2002;5(10):1017-1020.
19. Sharghi Y, Shirani RAH, Ayeneh BA, Noormohammadi G, Zahedi H. Yield and yield components of six canola (*Brassica napus* L.) cultivars affected by planting date and water deficit stress. African Journal of Biotechnology. 2011;10:9309-9313.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/124697>