

International Journal of Environment and Climate Change

Volume 13, Issue 9, Page 3494-3502, 2023; Article no.IJECC.104834 ISSN: 2581-8627

(Past name: British Journal of Environment & Climate Change, Past ISSN: 2231–4784)

Nutri-hormonal Manipulation for Yield and Quality Improvement in Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.)

R. Ishwariya ^a, V. Sivakumar ^{a*}, K. A. Shanmugasundaram ^a, K. Vanitha ^a, N. Seenivasan ^b and I. Muthuvel ^a

^a Department of Fruit Science, HC & RI, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

^b Department of Nematology, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/IJECC/2023/v13i92657

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here:

https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104834

Received: 05/06/2023 Accepted: 07/08/2023

Published: 11/08/2023

Original Research Article

ABSTRACT

Aims: To study the effect of nutrients and plant growth regulators through foliar spray on yield and quality characteristics of quava var. Arka Kiran.

Study design: Randomized Block Design (RBD).

Place and Duration of Study: The experimental trial was conducted at a Farmer's field in Theethipalayam village of Coimbatore district, Tamil Nadu during 2022-2023.

Methodology: The current study included six treatments and three replications. Three-year-old guava trees with uniform size, growth, and bearing habit were chosen for imposing treatments. Observations on fruit yield, physical and quality characteristics of guava were recorded.

Results: Fruit diameter (6.30 cm), Fruit weight (168.17 g), fruit volume (146.46 ml), fruit yield (16.53 kg/tree) and the number of fruits per tree (98.35) were recorded maximum in plants treated with nutri-hormonal spray-I. The best-quality fruits in terms of increased TSS (12.08), ascorbic acid

*Corresponding author: E-mail: sivakumarv@tnau.ac.in;

(185.35 mg/g), reducing (3.15%), non-reducing (4.19%), and total sugars (7.35%) and reduced acidity (0.40%) were also recorded in the same combination.

Conclusion: The foliar application of nutrients and PGRs spray-I can be advocated to improve the yield and quality attributes of guava.

Keywords: Guava; nutrients; growth regulators; yield; quality.

ABBREVIATIONS

PGRs : Plant Growth Regulators TSS : Total Soluble Solids

% : Percentage

1. INTRODUCTION

Guava (Psidium guajava L.) is a member of Myrtaceace family. It is an evergreen tree that is highly productive and widely cultivated in the tropical and subtropical areas of the world. In view of its nutritional content, guava is referred to as the "Apple of Tropics". Every 100 g of fruit contains 68 kcal of energy, 14.32 g of carbohydrates and 5.4 g of dietary fiber [1]. Besides guava is a good source of vitamin C (228 mg / 100g), phosphorus (40 mg/100 g), calcium (18 mg/100 g), potassium (417 mg/ 100g) and iron (0.26 mg/100 g) as reported by USDA. In India, guava is the fifth most widely grown fruit crop after banana, mango, citrus and papaya. The growing traction towards guava among agriculturalists is because of its hardy and prolific bearing nature, adaptability to a wide range of soils and agro climatic regions, relatively high profit margin and nutritional values [2]. The area under guava cultivation is 0.353 million hectares, with a total production of 5.52 million metric tonnes. In Tamil Nadu guava is cultivated in an area of 0.014 million hectares with a production of 0.363 million metric tones [3].

Guava responds effectively to fertilizer in terms of increased fruit production and quality [4]. Water and nutrient supply must be regulated to increase the production and productivity of guava. A persistent micronutrient shortage has been observed in guava which impairs fruit development and lowers fruit quality. For proper development and yield, guava trees require nutrients and plant hormones. Macronutrients like nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium aid in plant growth and development. Despite their need in relatively smaller quantities, micronutrients like Fe, Zn, Mn, Cu, and B also have equal significance [5]. These in cell wall nutrients help development, respiration, leaf chlorophyll formation, enzyme functioning, synthesis of hormones and favor uptake of macronutrients [6]. In addition, application of plant growth hormones increases flowering, reduces fruit drop, and enhances the fruit quality of guava [7].

Fertilization can be divided into two types based on the method of application and plant absorption: Root and foliar fertilization [8]. The most common way to apply fertilizer is through soil incorporation [9]; however, this approach is influenced by a number of variables, such as soil pH, temperature and microbiota [10], leading to nutrient fixation and overfertilization. Foliar fertilization is a rational method of supplying nutrients, since they are applied directly to the leaves and above-ground parts in limited quantities at critical growth stages [11]. Foliar sprays have become more common as a result of the commercialization of water-soluble fertilizers, mechanized spray delivery systems, and overhead irrigation [9]. The effectiveness of applied nutrients and hormones is increased by formulating foliar sprays with a suitable combination of nutrients adjuvants and that facilitate proper wetting, spreading, permeation of chemicals [11].

The integrated supply of nutrients and hormones in correct proportions is one of the key factors that influence tree growth. It minimizes the reliance on plant protection chemicals and eliminates physiological disorders thereby increasing the marketable yield [12]. In this context, the present study was undertaken to investigate the influence of nutri-hormonal spray on yield and quality in guava (*Psidium quajava* L.).

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Geographical Location

The experiment was conducted at a farmer's field in Theethipalayam village of Coimbatore district which is geographically located in the western agro-climatic zone of Tamil Nadu.

2.2 Experiment Details

Crop : Guava Variety : Arka Kiran

Design : Randomized Block Design

(RBD)

Number of : Six (6)

treatments

Number of : Four (4)

replications

Plant : 2.2 x 1.8m

spacing

Age of the : Three (3) years old

tree

Experiment : 2022 - 2023

period

Number of : Three (3)

sprays

Stage of : 1. Bud emergence stage

application 2. Fruit set stage

 Fruit development stage (30 days after 2nd spray)

2.2.1 Treatments details

Six treatments were used in the experiment. The treatment combinations include foliar application nutrients and growth regulators in various concentrations.

Table 1. Treatment details

T ₁	Absolute control
T_2	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer
T_3	RDF + Micronutrients
T_4	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray I
T_5	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray II
T_6	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray III

T₁ - **Absolute control:** Without fertilizers and foliar spray

T₂ - Recommended Dose of Fertilizer: 900:600:600 g of nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium per tree [13]. All -19 (19:19:19), single super phosphate and murate of potash were used to supply the recommended dose of NPK at weekly intervals through fertigation.

T₃ - Micronutrients: 0.3% Borax + 0.5% ZnSO₄ + 0.5% MgSO₄ + 0.5% MnSO₄ + 0.25% CuSO₄ + 0.5% FeSO₄ [14].

T₄, T₅, T₆ - Nutrients and PGRs spray: A mixture of macro and micronutrients with plant growth regulators that promotes the growth, yield and quality of guava was premeasured and quantified at three different concentrations.

2.2.2 Cultural operations

Trees with uniform size, growth and bearing habit exposed to similar weather conditions were chosen randomly from the orchard. Soil and cultural operations including irrigation, manuring, fertilization and plant protection measures were administered uniformly to all the trees at scheduled intervals.

2.3 Observations Recorded

2.3.1 Fruit yield parameters

2.3.1.1 Fruit weight (g)

Fruits were randomly collected from each treatment and their average fruit weight was calculated and expressed in grams.

2.3.1.2 Fruit diameter (cm)

The diameter of the fruits was measured at its broadest portion using a tape measure, and their mean value was expressed in centimeters.

2.3.1.3 Fruit volume (ml)

The volume of the fruit was measured through water displacement method and expressed in milliliters.

2.3.1.4 Seed weight (g/100g)

The weight of seeds extracted from 100 g of fully ripe fruit was measured and expressed in grams per 100 g of fruit.

2.3.1.5 Fruit yield (kg/tree)

The weight of fruits harvested at mature green stage (color change from dark to light green) was summed up and the final yield was expressed in kilogram per tree.

Number of fruits per tree: The number of fruits harvested was counted for each treatment and replication. It was added up, and the average number of fruits per tree was calculated.

2.3.2 Fruit quality parameters

2.3.2.1 Total soluble solids (°brix)

Total soluble solids of guava fruits was determined using a refractometer and expressed in °brix.

2.3.2.2 Acidity (%) and ascorbic acid (mg/100g)

The acidity and ascorbic acid content of the fruits was estimated by titration method described by

Ranganna [15] and expressed in percentage and mg/100g of fresh fruit, respectively.

2.3.2.3 Total sugars (%)

Total sugars was calculated based on the method proposed by Somogyi [16] and expressed as percentage.

2.3.2.4 Reducing sugars (%)

Reducing sugars was calculated based on the method proposed by Hedge and Hofreiter [17] and expressed as percentage.

2.3.2.5 Non reducing sugars (%)

Non-reducing sugar was calculated as the difference between total sugars and reducing sugars and expressed as percentage.

2.3.2.6 Pectin (%)

Pectin content was estimated based on the method proposed by Ranganna (1977) [15] and expressed as percentage.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The experiment was laid under Randomized Block Design and one way ANOVA was performed to compare the means and Least Significant Difference (LSD) was used to determine the significant treatments. Significance of the data was determined at p < 0.05. All the statistical analysis was performed using R software (R version 4.3.1 (2023-06-16 Universal C Runtime)).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results from Tables 2 and 3 indicate that foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators produced a significant difference. Increased yield and quality of guava fruits owing to the foliar application of micronutrients individually or in combination with growth regulators has been reported by various researchers viz., Dutta and Banik [18], Suman et al. [19], Lenka et al. [20] and Kumar et al. [21] which validates the findings of this experiment.

3.1 Yield Parameters

3.1.1 Fruit diameter (cm)

Highest fruit diameter was measured under T_4 (6.30 cm) and the lowest fruit diameter was measured under T_2 and T_1 (5.45 and 5.43 cm, respectively) which were statistically on par with

each other. The increase in diameter of treated fruits could be attributed to improved water mobilization facilitated by micronutrient-mediated cell wall permeability as reported by Wali et al. [22]. Adequate supply of water and mineral nutrients improves the interior physiology of the fruit, promoting its growth and development [16].

3.1.2 Fruit volume (ml)

T₄ recorded maximum fruit volume (146.46 ml) which was significantly superior over control (107.53 ml) and rest of the treatments. Exogenously applied nutrients and hormones accelerated the translocation of photoassimilates from leaves to the developing fruits of treated plants thereby increasing the fruit volume [23]. Growth regulators have a regulatory effect on the activity and strength of sinks [24]. They aid in photosynthate partitioning and promote nutrient flow through phloem to the sink (fruits) [25]. Besides they stimulate the activity of enzymes involved in sugar metabolism resulting in larger fruits [26]. The earlier findings of Gaund et al. [27] and Babu et al. [28] are also in consonance with the present results.

3.1.3 Fruit weight (g)

Maximum fruit weight of about 168.17 g was recorded in T_4 , and a significantly minimum fruit weight of about 132.30 g was recorded in T_1 . T_4 was followed by T_5 (157.20 g), T_3 (153.61 g) and T_6 (150.07 g), all of which were on par. The increase in fruit weight in T_4 might be due to the indirect effect of micronutrients via tryptophandependent auxin synthesis [29]; Pedler et al. [30]. Auxin accelerates the process of cell division and cell elongation in fruit tissues resulting in larger fruits having more intercellular spaces [31]. Similar results were obtained by Rawat et al. [32], Jat et al. [33], Yadav et al. [34] and Meena et al. [35].

3.1.4 Fruit yield (kg/tree)

The fruit yield/tree of guava ranged from 11.16 kg to 16.53 kg. The highest fruit yield was recorded in T_4 (16.53 kg) followed by T_5 (14.80 kg) and T_3 (14.38 kg) while the lowest fruit yield was recorded in T_1 (11.16 kg). Higher fruit yield caused by foliar application of micronutrients and growth regulators may be associated with expansive vegetative growth at first, which results in the synthesis of more metabolites for later-developing fruits [36]. These findings were in agreement with Janaki et al. [37].

Table 2. Effect of nutri-hormonal spray on fruit yield parameters of guava (Psidium guajava L.)

	Treatments	Fruit diameter (cm)	Fruit volume (ml)	Fruit weight (g)	Seed weight (g/100g)	Fruit yield (kg/tree)	No.of fruits/tree
T₁	Absolute control	5.45 ^c	107.53 ^e	132.30e	3.57 ^e	11.16 ^e	84.40°
T_2	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer	5.43 ^c	113.96 ^d	140.24 ^d	3.87 ^{bc}	12.29 ^d	87.68 ^c
T_3	RDF + Micronutrients	5.78 ^{bc}	128.64 ^b	153.61 ^{bc}	4.05 ^a	14.38 ^b	93.57 ^b
T_4	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray I	6.30 ^a	146.46a	168.17a	3.94 ^{ab}	16.53 ^a	98.35 ^a
T_5	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray II	6.03 ^{ab}	130.55 ^b	157.20 ^b	3.74 ^{cd}	14.80 ^b	94.18 ^b
T_6	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray III	5.88 ^{abc}	125.68 ^c	150.07c	3.69 ^{de}	13.81 ^c	91.99 ^b
	SEd	0.232	1.031	2.103	0.066	0.242	1.750
	CD	0.496	2.199	4.481	0.142	0.517	3.730

Table 3. Effect of nutri-hormonal spray on fruit quality parameters of guava (Psidium guajava L.)

	Treatments	TSS (°brix)	Titrable acidity (%)	Ascorbic acid (mg/g)	Reducing sugars (%)	Non reducing sugars (%)	Total sugars (%)	Pectin (%)
T ₁	Absolute control	9.72 ^d	0.53a	168.04°	2.90°	2.80 ^c	5.70 ^d	1.06 ^c
T_2	Recommended Dose of Fertilizer	10.37 ^c	0.49 ^{ab}	170.13 ^c	3.42 ^b	2.84 ^c	6.26 ^c	1.07 ^{bc}
T_3	RDF + Micronutrients	11.55 ^b	0.47 ^{ab}	176.36 ^b	4.06a	3.26a	7.32a	1.09 ^{abc}
T_4	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray I	12.08a	0.40 ^c	185.35a	4.19 ^a	3.15 ^{ab}	7.35 ^a	1.12 ^a
T_5	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray II	11.38 ^b	0.44 ^{bc}	187.43a	3.54 ^b	2.98 ^{bc}	6.52 ^{bc}	1.08 ^{bc}
T_6	RDF + Nutrients and PGRs spray III	11.53 ^b	0.47 ^{ab}	173.72 ^{bc}	3.46 ^b	3.21 ^{ab}	6.68 ^b	1.10 ^{ab}
	SEd	0.198	0.031	2.686	0.145	0.126	0.150	0.018
	CD	0.423	0.066	5.726	0.309	0.268	0.320	0.038

3.1.5 Number of fruits

 T_4 (98.35) yielded the highest number of fruits followed by T_5 (94.18), T_3 (93.57) and T_6 (91.99) which were at par with each other and significantly superior over T_1 (84.40) and T_2 (87.68). The increment in number of fruits might be due to the increase in photosynthetic pigment contents which improved the photochemical efficiency of guava leaves. This leads to an increased production of metabolites in the plant system, ensuring fruit growth and the-uptake of other nutrients through the leaves [38]. These results are similar to those reported by Jat and Kacha [39] and Bhatti et al. [40].

3.1.6 Seed weight (g)

Foliar spray had no significant effect on seed weight in the present experiment. All treatments were on par with each other.

3.2 Quality Parameters

3.2.1 Total soluble solids ('Brix)

The TSS significantly increased with foliar application of nutrients and growth regulators. T_4 (12.08°Brix) recorded the highest level of TSS and T_1 (9.72°Brix) recorded the lowest level of TSS while the other treatments showed the intermittent values. Maximum total soluble solids caused by nutrient administration is due to the breakdown of complex polysaccharides into simple sugars and its translocation from other parts of the plant to fruit pulp [32]. According to Kumar and Bhusan [41], plants with more photosynthetic activity produce more sugars which increases the TSS of the fruits. These results closely align with the findings of El-Sisy [42] and Rajkumar et al. [43].

3.2.2 Titrable acidity (%)

The titrable acidity of guava ranges from 0.39% to 0.52%. The lowest percent of acidity was found in T_4 (0.40 %) followed by T_5 (0.44%), which was at par with treatments T_2 (0.49%), T_3 (0.47%) and T_6 (0.47%). The highest percent of acidity was found in T_1 (0.53%). The decrease in acidity percentage is related to the involvement of mineral compounds in the conversion of starch to sugar via reverse glycolytic pathways [44]. Increased membrane permeability, according to Kjewer [45], permits acids to be stored in respiring cells, where they are used as a substrate for respiration. With the increase in

volume of treated fruits, there might also have been a dilution impact that contributed to a decline in organic acids as advocated by Rawat et al. [32].

3.2.3 Ascorbic acid (mg/g)

 T_5 recorded the highest vitamin C content (187.43 mg/g) which was at par with T_4 (185.35 mg/g) whereas the lowest vitamin C content was recorded in T_1 (168.04 mg/g). The plant growth regulators inhibit the activity of oxidative enzymes and catalyze ascorbic acid synthesis from sugars, enhancing the ascorbic acid content of guava fruit [46]. Similar observations were recorded by Darshan et al. [47].

3.2.4 Sugars (%)

Foliar application of nutrients and hormones showed significant increase in sugar content of fruits. Among the treatments, T₄ recorded highest percent of total sugars and reducing sugars (7.35% and 4.19% respectively) which was on par with T₃ (7.32% and 4.06% respectively). Maximum percentage of non-reducing sugars was recorded in T₃ (3.26%) which was statistically on par with T₆ (3.21%) and T₄ (3.15%). The lowest percent of total, reducing and non-reducing sugars was recorded in T₁ (5.70%, 2.90% and 2.80% respectively). Mineral ions in the fruits of treated trees either get associated with the cellular membrane or react with sucrose, forming complexes that facilitate the passage of sugars through the membrane thereby increasing the sugar content of the fruits [48]. The current findings are in agreement with the results proposed by Baranwal et al. [49] and Yadav et al. [50].

3.2.5 Pectin (%)

Although there was no statistical difference between treatments, T_4 (1.12%) registered higher percentage of pectin content. The synthesis of pectic compounds is due to increased translocation of photoassimilates [51].

4. CONCLUSION

The present investigation clearly reflects that application of nutri-hormonal spray- I (T₄) at bud emergence, fruit set and fruit development stage was found to be beneficial in increasing the productivity of guava by enhancing the number of fruits per tree, yield per tree and fruit quality.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Kafle A, Mohapatra SS, Reddy I, Chapagain M. A review on medicinal properties of *Psidium guajava*. J Med Plants Stud. 2018;6(4):44-7.
- 2. Jat KC, Bhatnagar P, Kumar M, Choudhary KC. Correlation dynamics under survey of physico-chemical characters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49 in Jhalawar district. International Journal of Science, Environment and Technology. 2018;7(1):153-9.
- 3. Anonymous 2022a. Indiastat; 2022 . Available:https://www.indiastat.com/table/g uava/area-production-productivity-guava-india-1987-1988/14858
- 4. Ram RA, Bhriguvanshi SR, Pathak RK. Integrated plant nutrient management in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Sardar. Inl International Guava Symposium. 2005;735:345-350).
- Yadav MK, Solanki VK. Use of micronutrients in tropical and sub-tropical fruit crops: A review. African Journal of Agricultural Research. 2015;10(5):416-22.
- 6. Das DK. Micronutrients: Their behaviour in soils and plants. Bangladesh Forest Research Institute; 2001
- 7. Lal N, Das RP. Effect of plant growth regulators on yield and quality of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda. 2017 6(5):857-863.
- 8. Niu J, Liu C, Huang M, Liu K, Yan D. Effects of foliar fertilization: A review of current status and future perspectives. Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition. 2021;21:104-18.
- 9. Fageria NK, Filho MB, Moreira A, Guimarães CM. Foliar fertilization of crop plants. Journal of Plant Nutrition. 2009;32(6):1044-64.
- Li Y, Li X, Xiao Y, Zhao B, Wang L. Advances in study on mechanism of foliar nutrition and development of foliar fertilizer application. Scientia Agricultura Sinica. 2009;42(1):162-72.
- Fernández V, Sotiropoulos T, Brown PH. Foliar fertilization: Scientific principles and field practices. International fertilizer industry association; 2013.

- Yadav SK, Kalita S. Effect of micronutrients on guava (*Psidium guajava* L.): A review paper. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 2019;7:1132-1138.
- 13. Anonymous 2022b. IIHR Available: https://iihr.res.in/guava
- Anonymous 2020. TNAU Crop Production Guide 2020 Available:https://agritech.tnau.ac.in/pdf/HO RTICULTURE.pdf
- Ranganna S. Manual of analysis fruits and vegetables. Tara-McGraw Hill, New Delhi. 1977:1-3.
- 16. Somogyi M. Notes on sugar determination. Journal of biological chemistry. 1952;195:19-23.
- 17. Hedge JE, Hofreiter BT, Whistler RL. Carbohydrate chemistry. Academic Press, New York. 1962;17:371-80.
- Dutta P, Banik AK. Effect of foliar feeding of nutrients and plant growth regulators on physico-chemical quality of Sardar guava grown in red and lateritic tract of West Bengal. Inl International Guava Symposium. 2005;735:407-411.
- Suman M, Dubalgunde SV, Poobalan O, Sangma PD. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on yield and economics of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) CV. L-49. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology. 2016;9(2):221-4.
- Lenka J, Acharya GC, Sahu P, Dash DK, Samant D, Panda CM, Mishra KN, Panda RK. Assess the Effect of Micronutrients and Bio-regulators on Growth, Flowering, Fruiting and Yield of Guava (*Psidium guajava*) cv. Allahabad Safeda. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2019;8(10):401-9.
- 21. Kumar S. Effect of micronutrients on growth, Physico-chemical and yield parameters in guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Lucknow-49. 2022;11(6): 318-321
- 22. Wali VK, Kaul R, Kher R. Effect of foliar sprays of nitrogen, potassium and zinc on yield and physico-chemical composition of phalsa (*Grewia subinaequalis* DC) cv. Purple Round. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2005;34(1/2):56.
- 23. Singh R, Godara NR, Singh RB, Dahiya SS. Responses of foliar application of growth regulators and nutrients in ber (*Zizyphus mauritiana* Lamk.) Cv. Umran. Haryana Journal of Horticultural Sciences. 2001;30(3/4):161-4.

- 24. Kuiper D. Sink strength: established and regulated by plant growth regulators. Plant, Cell & Environment. 1993;16(9):1025-6.
- 25. Zhang C, Tanabe K, Tamura F, Itai A, Yoshida M. Roles of gibberellins in increasing sink demand in Japanese pear fruit during rapid fruit growth. Plant Growth Regulation. 2007;52:161-72.
- 26. Brenner ML, Cheikh N. The role of hormones in photosynthate partitioning and seed filling. Plant hormones: Physiology, Biochemistry and Molecular Biology. 1995:649-70.
- Gaund M, Ram D, Kumar AS. Response of foliar application of micronutrients and plant growth regulator on Physio-chemical attributes of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Shweta and Lalit. 2022;11(2): 2809-2813.
- 28. Babu R, Tripathi VK. Impact of foliar application of NAA, Zinc and Boron on growth, yield and quality parameters of Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.). Progressive Agriculture. 2022;22(2):190-4.
- 29. Marschner H, editor. Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants. Academic Press. 20118.
- 30. Pedler JF, Parker DR, Crowley DE. Zinc deficiency-induced phytosiderophore release by the Triticaceae is not consistently expressed in solution culture. Planta. 2000; 211:120-6.
- Osman AS, Abido YM, Allam SM. Response of soybean to phosphorus and zinc fertilization under irrigation regime. Annals of Agricultural Science (Cairo). 2000;45(1):229-38.
- 32. Rawat VR, Tomar YK, Rawat JM. Influence of foliar application of micronutrients on the fruit quality of guava cv. Lucknow-49. Journal of Hill Agriculture. 2010;1(1):75-8.
- 33. Jat KC, Prerak B, Jitendra S, Jain MC, Jain SK, Sharma MK, Bhim S. Survey and evaluation of physico-chemical characters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L.-49 growing in Jhalawar district. HortFlora Research Spectrum. 2017;6(3):163-7.
- Yadav A, Verma RS, Ram RB, Kumar V, Yadav RK. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on physical parameters of winter season guava (*Psidium guajava* L) cv. Lalit. Plant Archives. 2017;17(2):1457-9.
- Meena LK, Bhatnagar P, Sharma MK, Singh J. Effect of foliar spray of zinc and iron on floral characters expression and yield attributing parameters of guava cv. L-

- 49 in vertisols of Subhumid plains of South Eastern Rajasthan, IJCS, 2020;8(4):123-6.
- Darshan D, Shukla AK, Hota D, Singh V, Kumar V. Foliar application of growth regulators and nutrients for enhanced yield attributes of guava cv Lalit. 2023; 9(1): 72-76
- 37. Janaki D, Prabhu S, Poorniammal R, Kannan J. Effect of Micronutrient Mixture Formulation on Growth, Yield and Quality of Guava. Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci. 2020;9(5):2017-22.
- 38. Rokaya P, Baral D, Gautam D, Shrestha A, Paudyal K. Effects of foliar application of urea and micronutrients on yield and fruit quality of mandarin (*Citrus reticulata* blanco). Journal of Agriculture and Forestry University. 2019;3:63.
- 39. Jat G, Laxmidas KH. Response of guava to foliar application of urea and zinc on fruit set, yield and quality. Journal of agrisearch. 2014;1(2).
- 40. Bhatti D, Varu DK, Dudhat M. Effect of different doses of urea and nano-urea on growth and yield of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) Cv. Lucknow-49. 2023;12(7): 464-468.
- 41. Kumar S, Bhushan S. Effect of zinc, manganese and boron applications on quality of Thompson seedless grape. Punjab Horticultural Journal; 1980.
- Waaz ES. Response of guava cv. Seedy Montakhab trees to micronutrients and its effect on fruit quality. Alexandria Science Exchange Journal. 2011;32(October-December):489-97.
- 43. Rajkumar J, Lal SH. Effect of Foliar Application of Zinc and Boron on Fruit Yield and Quality of. Annals of Agri-Bio Research. 2014;19(1):105-8.
- 44. Singh J, Maurya AN. Effect of micronutrients on quality of fruits of mango (*Mangifera Indica* L.) cv. Mallika. Progressive Agriculture. 2003;3(1and2):92-4.
- 45. Kliewer WM. Effect of Day Temperature and Light Intensity on Concentration of Malic and Tartaric Acids in *Vitis vinifera* L. Grapes1. Journal of the American Society for Horticultural Science. 1971;96(3):372-7.
- 46. Yadav HC, Yadav AL, Yadav DK, Yadav PK. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients and GA3 on fruit yield and quality of rainy season guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. L-49. Plant Archives. 2011;11(1):147-9.

- 47. Darshan D, Hota D, Yadav S, Kumar V. Foliar application of growth regulators and nutrients for better quality aspects of *Guava Cv* Lalit. Environment and Ecology. 2023;41(3):1383-7.
- 48. Gauch HG, Dugger Jr WM. The role of boron in the translocation of sucrose. Plant Physiology. 1953;28(3):457.
- 49. Baranwal D, Tomar S, Singh JP, Maurya JK. Effect of foliar application of zinc and boron on fruit growth, yield and quality of winter season Guava (*Psidium guajava* L.).
- International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences. 2017;6(9):1525-9.
- 50. Yadav SK, Mukherjee S, Sarolia DK. Effect of micronutrients and plant growth regulators on quality parameters of guava (*Psidium guajava* L.) cv. Allahabad Safeda in semi-arid regions of Rajasthan. 2023;12(3):2786-2791.
- 51. Whiting GC. Sugars In: The Biochemistry of fruits and their products, Hulme AC. Academic press, London. 1970;1:22-63.

© 2023 Ishwariya et al.; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:
The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:
https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/104834