

Asian Plant Research Journal

Volume 11, Issue 6, Page 119-125, 2023; Article no.APRJ.110979 ISSN: 2581-9992

Phenolic and Flavonoid Content and Antioxidant Activity, of Three Different Extracts of *Tecoma stans* (L.) Kunth and *Zingiber officinales* Roscoe

Swati Choudhury ^a and Dipjyoti Chakraborty ^{a*}

^a Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan- 304022, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration between both authors. Both authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: 10.9734/APRJ/2023/v11i6236

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110979

Original Research Article

Received: 16/10/2023 Accepted: 22/12/2023 Published: 23/12/2023

ABSTRACT

Aim: The purpose of this study was to determine the total phenolic and flavonoid content and antioxidant activity in the three medicinal plants *Tecoma stans* and *Zingiber officinales*.

Study Design: Plant extracts were prepared in three different solvents, total phenolic content, total flavonoid content and the antioxidant activity was determined.

Place and Duration of Study: The study was conducted at Banasthali during August-December 2022.

Methods: Aqueous, methanolic, and ethanolic solvent extracts were prepared; total phenolic and flavonoid contents was determined, the DPPH assay was used to measure the extracts' antioxidant activity.

Results: The methanolic extract contained the highest total phenolic (16.67±0.04, 11.56±0.09 mg GAE/g dw) and flavonoid content (14.21±0.10, 7.96±0.06 mg QUE/g,) as well as displayed the

^{*}Corresponding author: E-mail: cdipjyoti@banasthali.in, dciitkgp@gmail.com;

highest antioxidant activity (68.91%, 53.09% at 300 μ g/ml) in *Z.officinales* and *T. stans* extracts respectively.

Conclusion: *Tecoma stans* and *Zingiber officinales* can be used as possible antioxidant agents as well as resource for the production of novel drugs.

Keywords: Antioxidant activity; DPPH assay; total phenolic content; total flavonoid content; Tecoma stans; Zingiber officinales.

1. INTRODUCTION

Plants and natural products constitute the foundation of both contemporary and traditional medicines [1]. Medicinal plants are particularly significant in facilitating the development of alternative remedies that do not have the negative side effects of synthetic pharmaceuticals [2,3]. Herbs are used in approximately 25% of prescription medications globally [4,5].

Ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe) is a member of the Zingiberaceae family and contains a variety of active compounds including terpenes (sesquiterpene hydrocarbons), alkaloids, and polyphenols [6].

Phenolic compounds are linked to a wide range of biological activities, one of which is their antioxidant ability [7], which may aid in protecting cells from oxidative damage induced by free radicals [8,9]. Studies have reported that ginger has antioxidant properties [10,11]. From ancient times, ginger has been used as a medicine to cure a variety of conditions, including fever, indigestion, hypertension, sprains, sore throats, cramps, and rheumatism [12]. Ginger has a properties, biological including varietv of antibacterial activity, antifungal activity, antiinflammatory activity, and anticoagulant impact [13,14].

A decorative shrub from the *Bignoniaceae* family. is Tecoma stans (L.) Kunth also known as yellow elder, has been used in traditional medicine. Aerial parts of the plant are used for the treatment of diabetes, to control fungal infections, and as a tonic, diuretic and dewormer [15]. Teas made from flowers and leaves are commonly used to treat diabetes and digestive problems, and the decoction of flowers has been used to relieve stomach pain [16,17]. The presence of several bioactive compounds in species of Tecoma genus have been reported, which include saponins, flavonoids, alkaloids, phenols, steroids, anthraquinones, tannins, terpenes, glycosylated hydrocarbons, volatile oils, flavonoids and phenolic acids [17,18]. These compounds have been shown antioxidant, antibacterial and antifungal activities [19].

These plants have key bio-natural components that are quite effective in giving critical medical advantages. The present study aims to determine the total phenolic and flavonoid content and antioxidant activity in the medicinal plants *Tecoma stans* and *Zingiber officinales*.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The selected medicinal plants/ parts were collected as follows: leaves of *Tacoma stans* were obtained from the plants growing at Banasthali Vidyapith campus, and rhizome of *Zingiber officinale* were procured from the market and kept in a refrigerator at 4°C.

2.1 Extraction of Leaf Samples

20g of leaf sample was dissolved in 100 ml of solvent (ethanol, methanol, and water) for 24–42 h at room temperature. Then, the extracts were filtered through sterile muslin cloth and Whatman filter paper. The filtrates from each solvent were evaporated in a desiccator. The dried extracts were packaged in airtight containers, labelled, and kept in a refrigerator (2-4°C) for further use. For performing the experiments, the extracts were reconstituted in 5% Dimethyl Sulphoxide (DMSO) to obtain concentrations of 100µg/ml, 150 µg/ml, 200 µg/ml, 250 µg/ml and 300 µg/ml.

2.2 Total Phenolic and Total Flavonoid Content

The determine the total phenolic content (TPC), aliquots of 1ml of plant extract (0.5g/20ml) were placed in test tubes, mixed with 4 ml of Folin-Ciocalteau reagent, and after 5-7 min, 5 ml of sodium carbonate (20%) was added and mixed thoroughly [20,21]. The mixture was incubated for 30 mins at room temperature in complete darkness. A spectrophotometer was used to measure absorbance at 740nm. Gallic acid was used as a calibration curve standard and TPC concentration was expressed as gallic acid equivalent (GAE).

To determine total flavonoid content (TFC). aliquots of 1ml of plant extract (0.5g/20ml) were placed in test tubes, mixed with 4 ml of distilled water and subsequently with 0.30ml of NaNO₂ solution (10%) [22,23]. After 5 min, 0.30ml AICl₃ solution (10%) was added followed by 2.0ml of NaOH solution (1%) to the mixture. Immediately the mixture was thoroughly mixed and absorbance was then determined at 510 nm versus blank. Standard curve of quercetin was prepared (0-210 µg/ml) and the results were expressed as quercetin equivalents (mg QUE/ g dried extract).

2.3 Determination of Antioxidant Activity

To determine DPPH (1, 1 dihydroxy 2picrylhydrazyl, Sigma Aldrich) free radical scavenging activity, 1.0ml of DPPH solution (0.135mM DPPH in methanol) was added to (100-300µg/ml) plant extract [19]. The reaction mixture was kept in the dark for 30 min and its absorbance at 517nm was measured with a spectrophotometer. Ascorbic acid was used as a reference.

2.4 Statistical Analysis

The experiments were repeated three times, and the findings were provided as $Mean \pm S.E.$ All tests were designed using a randomised blockdesign and ANOVA at p<0.05. Appropriate posthoc tests were performed, including the "Duncan Multiple Range Test" (DMRT) and the "Least Significant Difference" (LSD).

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Total Phenolic and Flavonoid Contents

Table 1 shows the amounts of phenolic and flavonoid content in several medicinal plants. Among these three solvents, MetOH extraction yielded the most phenolic acid in all three extracts, but Aq. solvent extraction yielded the least phenolic content. TPC (16.67, 17.78 and 10.59 mg GAE/g extract respectively) and TFC (14.21, 12.75 and 8.10 mg QE/g dw extract respectively) are abundant in *Z. officinales* extracts in MetOH, EtOH, and Aq. Solvent.



Fig. 1. Total antioxidant activity of Z. officinales and T. stans in different solvents (A) methanolic (B) ethanolic (C) aqueous

Plants/	Total Phenolic Content (mg GAE/g dw)			Total Flavonoid Content (mg QUE/g dw)		
Extract	MetOH	EtOH	Aq.	MetOH	EtOH	Aq.
TS	11.56±0.09°	9.73±0.07 ^b	4.30±0.14 ^a	7.96±0.06 ^c	6.20±0.11 ^b	3.66±0.06ª
ZO	16.67±0.04°	12.78±0.06 ^b	10.59±0.07 ^a	14.21±0.10 ^c	12.75±0.13 ^b	8.10±0.10 ^a
Results are p=0.05 foll	e mean ± standard de owing ANOVA and L	eviation (SD), values foll SD; EtOH= Ethanolic ex	owed by the same letter tract, MetOH= Methano	for a plant and a particu lic extract, Aq.= Aqueous	lar metabolite separately sextract; <i>T. stans</i> =TS; <i>Z.</i>	are not significant at officinales= ZO.

Table 1. Total phenolic and flavonoid content of plant extracts

3.2 Total Antioxidant Activity

The efficacy of three distinct solvent extracts to scavenge DPPH free radicals was tested and compared to the standard, ascorbic acid. Fig. 1 shows the antioxidant properties of medicinal plant extracts as assessed by DPPH scavenging The present study's experiments. results demonstrated that Z. officinales sample DPPH• scavenging activity considerably increased in methanol solvent. However, the extracts' DPPH radical scavenging capacities were lower than those of ascorbic acid (82.29%) at 300µg/ml. This result clearly shows that the extracts have proton donating potential and might be used as free radical inhibitors or scavengers, possibly functioning as primary anti-oxidants.

In the current investigation, high TPC and TFC values, as well as antioxidant activity, were found in methanolic solvent extract. Plant phenolic compounds are potent antioxidants and antimicrobials [24,25]. Several investigations have shown that flavonoids in plant extracts have antioxidant and antifungal properties [26,27].

The presence of phenolic and flavonoid compounds in these plants may enhance their biological capabilities when compared to plants other therapeutic evaluated. The capabilities phenolic antioxidant of and flavonoids compounds are determined by the structural interaction between various elements of their chemical structure. Natural polyphenols have the ability to eliminate free radicals, bind metal prooxidants, decrease radicals, inhibit oxidases, and terminate the oxidation chain reaction [28]. These metabolites are reported to have considerable antioxidant activity [29]. For better understanding of the plant metabolites, evaluation of such metabolites is recommended [30].

Based on in vitro findings, the studied medicinal plants are intriguing and promising as a possible source for new antioxidant medications.

4. CONCLUSION

The selected medicinal plant parts *Tacoma stans* leaves and rhizome of *Zingiber officinale* contain considerable amount of polyphenolic compounds and flavonoids which show high antioxidant activity. As such, *T stans* and *Z officinales* can be further explored as possible antioxidant agents as well as resource for the production of novel drugs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge Prof. Ina Shastri, Vice Chancellor, Banasthali Vidyapith for providing the necessary facilities; the equipment and facilities used in the project was obtained through Scientific Research Grant, Banasthali Vidyapith, DST FIST Phase II project to the Department of Bioscience and Biotechnology, DST URIE project to Banasthali Vidyapith and UGC and DST project awarded to DC.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- 1. Khare T, Anand U, Dey A, Assaraf YG, Chen ZS, Liu Z, Kumar V. Exploring phytochemicals for combating antibiotic resistance in microbial pathogens. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:720726.
- Vaou N, Stavropoulou E, Voidarou C, Tsigalou C, Bezirtzoglou E. Towards advances in medicinal plant antimicrobial activity: A review study on challenges and future perspectives. Microorganisms. 2021; 9(10):2041.
- Bisso Kavoka-Kabongo 3. BN. PN. Tchuenquem RT, Dzovem JP. Phytochemical analysis and antifungal potentiating activity of extracts from loquat (Eriobotrya japonica) against Cryptococcus neoformans clinical isolates. Adv Pharmacol Pharm Sci. 2022;2022.
- Rasool A, Bhat KM, Sheikh AA, Jan A, Hassan S. Medicinal plants: Role, distribution and future. J Pharmacogn Phytochem. 2020;9(2):2111-4.
- 5. Savadi S, Vazifedoost M, Didar Z, Nematshahi MM, Jahed E. Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial/antioxidant activity of *Cynodondactylon* (L.) Pers. rhizome methanolic extract. J Food Qual. 2020;2020:1-0.
- Bonilla J, Poloni T, Lourenço RV, Sobral PJ. Antioxidant potential of eugenol and ginger essential oils with gelatin/chitosan films. Food Biosci. 2018;23:107-14.
- Gouveia S, Castilho PC. Antioxidant potential of *Artemisia argentea*L'Hér alcoholic extract and its relation with the phenolic composition. Food Res Int. 2011;44(6):1620-31.

- van Breemen RB, Tao Y, Li W. Cyclooxygenase-2 inhibitors in ginger (*Zingiber officinale*). Fitoterapia. 2011; 82(1):38-43.
- Auddy B, Ferreira M, Blasina F, Lafon L, Arredondo F, Dajas F, Tripathi PC, Seal T, Mukherjee B. Screening of antioxidant activity of three Indian medicinal plants, traditionally used for the management of neurodegenerative diseases. J Ethnopharmacol. 2003;84(2-3):131-8.
- Stoilova I, Krastanov A, Stoyanova A, Denev P, Gargova S. Antioxidant activity of a ginger extract (*Zingiber officinale*). Food Chem. 2007;102(3):764-70.
- 11. Maizura M, Aminah A, Wan Aida WM. Total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of kesum (*Polygonum minus*), ginger (*Zingiber officinale*) and turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) extract. Int Food Res J. 2011;18(2).
- 12. Ali BH, Blunden G, Tanira MO, Nemmar A. Some phytochemical, pharmacological and toxicological properties of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Roscoe): a review of recent research. Food ChemToxicol. 2008;46(2): 409-20.
- Abdalla WE, Abdallah EM. Antibacterial activity of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.) rhizome: A mini review. Int J Pharmacogn Chin Med. 2018;2(4):000142.
- 14. Jabborova D, Annapurna K, Fayzullaeva M, Sulaymonov K, Kadirova D, Jabbarov Z, Sayyed RZ. Isolation and characterization of endophytic bacteria from ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rosc.). Ann Phytomed. 2020;9:116-21.
- 15. Gonçalves TP, Parreira AG, dos Santos Zanuncio VS, de Souza Farias K, da Silva DB, dos Santos Lima LA. Antibacterial and antioxidant properties of flowers from *Tecoma stans* (L.) Juss. ex Kunth (Bignoniaceae). S Afr J Bot. 2022;144: 156-65.
- 16. Lozoya-Meckes M, Mellado-Campos V. Is the *Tecoma stans* infusion an antidiabetic remedy? J Ethnopharmacol. 1985;14(1): 1-9.
- 17. Singh A, Nagori BP, Mathur K. *Tecoma stans*: An important medicinal plant. J Pharm Erud. 2013;3:13-21.
- Anburaj G, Marimuthu M, Rajasudha V, Manikandan R. In vitro anti-cancer activity *Tecoma stans* against human breast cancer yellow elder (*Tecoma stans*). J Pharmacog Phytochem. 2016;5(5): 331-4.

- Taher MA, Dawood DH, Sanad MI, Hassan RA. Searching for anti-hyperglycemic phytomolecules of *Tecoma stans*. Eur J Chem. 2016;7(4):397-404.
- 20. Al-Azzawi A, Al Dibsawi A, Talath S, Wali AF, Sarheed O. Method development: The antioxidant and antifungal activity of the isolated component from the ethanolic extract of *Tecoma stans*leaves using flash chromatography. 2022;9(10):317.
- 21. Chakraborty D, Mandal SM. Fractional changes in phenolic acids composition in root nodules of *Arachis hypogaea* L. Plant Growth Regul. 2008;55:159-63.
- 22. CI KC, Indira G. Quantitative estimation of total phenolic, flavonoids, tannin and chlorophyll content of leaves of *Strobilanthes kunthiana* (Neelakurinji). J Med Plant. 2016;4:282-6.
- Aliyu AB, Ibrahim MA, Musa AM, Musa AO, Kiplimo JJ, Oyewale AO. Free radical scavenging and total antioxidant capacity of root extracts of *Anchomanes difformis* Engl. (Araceae). Acta Pol Pharm. 2013;70(1):115-21.
- 24. Alam MN, Bristi NJ, Rafiquzzaman M. Review on in vivo and in vitro methods evaluation of antioxidant activity. Saudi Pharm J. 2013;21(2):143-152. DOI:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsps.2012.05. 002
- 25. Adedapo AA, Jimoh FO, Koduru S, Masika PJ, Afolayan AJ. Assessment of the medicinal potentials of the methanol extracts of the leaves and stems of *Buddleja saligna*. BMC Complementary Altern Med. 2009;9(1):1-8.
- Adesegun SA, Fajana A, Orabueze CI, Coker HA. Evaluation of antioxidant properties of *Phaulopsis fascisepala* CB CI. (Acanthaceae). J Evid Based Complementary Altern Med. 2009;6: 227-31.
- Yoshida T, Konishi M, Horinaka M, Yasuda T, Goda AE, Taniguchi H, Yano K, Wakada M, Sakai T. Kaempferol sensitizes colon cancer cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis. Biochem Biophys Res Commun. 2008;375(1):129-33.
- 28. Carocho M, Ferreira IC. A review on antioxidants, prooxidants and related controversy: Natural and synthetic compounds, screening and analysis methodologies and future perspectives. Food Chem Toxicol. 2013;51:15-25.
- 29. Baliyan S, Mukherjee R, Priyadarshini A, Vibhuti A, Gupta A, Pandey RP,

Choudhury and Chakraborty; Asian Plant Res. J., vol. 11, no. 6, pp. 119-125, 2023; Article no.APRJ.110979

Chang C-M. Determination of antioxidants by DPPH radical scavenging activity and quantitative phytochemical analysis of *Ficus religiosa*. Mol. 2022;27(4): 1326.

 Molole GJ, Gure A, Abdissa N. Determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of *Commiphora mollis* (Oliv.) Engl. resin. BMC Chem. 2022; 16:48.

© 2023 Choudhury and Chakraborty; This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

> Peer-review history: The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here: https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/110979